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END FEDERAL SUBSIDIES FOR STATES’ WAR ON WOLVES
Move to Disqualify States from Federal Aid for Excessive Predator Removal

Washington, DC — State game agencies could lose a substantial portion of their budgets for eradicating their wolf populations under a proposal put forward by the Global Indigenous Council (GIC), Public Employees for Environmental Responsibility (PEER), the Center for Biological Diversity and a coalition of 25 Native American, conservation, and animal welfare organizations. The plan would deny federal wildlife management funding to states that excessively target predators, such as wolves, cougars, and grizzly bears.

With the removal of federal Endangered Species Act protections for gray wolves, states across the country have expanded controversial predator control programs by permitting trophy hunting and hunting and trapping of predators, particularly wolves, without regard for maintaining sustainable population levels.

The coalition’s rule-making petition would have the Secretary of Interior, Deb Haaland, adopt regulations making states ineligible to receive grants under the Pittman-Robertson Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration and Sport Fish Restoration Acts if they allow hunting and trapping at levels that compromise healthy populations of wildlife, including predators. That condition is currently required under law but without an enforcement mechanism – a hole this petition would fill.

Under this proposal, Secretary Haaland, following public comment, would decide if a state applying for a federal grant is pursuing wildlife management practices inconsistent with the national goal of naturally diverse wildlife populations and healthy predator-prey dynamics.

This federal aid constitutes a significant portion of state game agency budgets across the country. This year, approximately $1 billion in federal aid was funneled to state game agency coffers.

The petition is a reaction to recent actions in states such as Alaska, Idaho, Montana, and Wisconsin to, in essence, declare open season on wolves. In addition, the petition targets practices such as baiting and snaring of bears, “judas” wolf collaring, use of dogs to hunt
predators, shooting bears, wolves, and their young in dens, aerial spotting for land-and-shoot removals, and nighttime hunting with artificial lights.

“These wolf extermination bills passed and signed into law by rightwing extremists at the state level demonstrate that they are not only hunting democracy to extinction, they are also conflating Euro-Medieval sadism with so-called wildlife management to the same ends with wolves,” stated acclaimed film director Rain, who is Executive Director of the GIC.

“A healthy predator-prey relationship is necessary for healthy wildlife populations as a whole,” added Rick Steiner, a PEER Board member, conservation specialist, and retired University of Alaska professor, noting that eligibility for federal funding is often used to leverage state compliance with federal policies. “No state, including Alaska, should receive millions of dollars in federal wildlife restoration aid each year, while they continue ecologically destructive efforts to severely reduce or eliminate populations of wolves, bears, coyotes, and mountain lions.”

“Federal officials must stop ignoring the use of conservation funding by anti-wolf states to slaughter ecologically important carnivores,” said Collette Adkins, carnivore conservation program director at the Center for Biological Diversity. “Federal wildlife management funds should only be given to states that can be trusted to conserve their wildlife for all Americans.”


###

**Read the rule-making petition**

**Sign on to the letter of public support**

**Note $1 billion federal aid contribution this year to state game agencies**

**Look at state-by-state breakdown of federal aid to game agencies**
http://ti.org/sfwdata.htm

**Examine how Alaskan predator policies have devastated Denali National Park wolf populations**
###

**Statements from Leaders of Signatory Groups**

**The 06 Legacy, karol@the06legacy.com**

"For too long, states in the Northern Rockies have directed dollars meant for conservation to the slaughter of America's iconic predators. This rule will give us a chance to end the misuse of Pittman-Robertson dollars." - Karol Miller, President, The 06 Legacy

**Attorneys for Animals, beefriedlander@yahoo.com**

"When states try to unleash trappers and hunters on wolves, this rule will enable us to raise our concerns in Washington and pressure authorities to change course." - Bee Friedlander, J.D., President, Attorneys for Animals

**Endangered Species Coalition, tthorton@endangered.com**

"Anti-wolf hysteria driven by special interests is threatening gray wolves like never before. This rule will give us a powerful tool to fight back by airing our concerns before states receive their Pittman-Robertson wildlife funding." - Tara Thornton, Deputy Director, Endangered Species Coalition

**Footloose Montana, loxodonta66@gmail.com**

"Alarmingly, wildlife management in western states is moving toward colonial-era violence. Profiteers driving the commercialization and privatization of wildlife are outfitters, commercial trappers, trophy hunters and landowners including governors, legislators and fish and wildlife agencies. In this new world of wildlife management, bounties are paid to hunters and trappers by private organizations for each wolf killed, trophy hunters pay enormous sums to kill a wolf, a bear, an elk-- the Safari Club International-style--absent any ethics and without concern for the impact on species, the torture by snares and traps or the health of ecosystems." - Anja Heister, PhD, co-founder and board member of Footloose Montana, a Missoula-based nonprofit organization promoting trap-free public lands for people, pets and wildlife.

Heister adds, "The North American Model of Wildlife Conservation, which has been a protective shield for 'sportsmen,' has shown to be impotent in preventing extremists among them--thrill killers and predator haters--from hijacking state wildlife management, while cutting out the public from decision-making on wild animals."

**Mountain Lion Foundation, dchase@mountainlion.org**

"In the midst of the sixth great extinction, we can no longer shut our eyes or run away from the problems our natural world is experiencing. We need decisive action now to modernize the PRA. It’s unethical and immoral for states to profit from the excessive exploitation of our wildlife. This change will hold those states that are ignoring sound science and profiting from inflated counts of our carnivores, accountable to the public served and the wildlife they are committed to protect." - Debra Chase, CEO, Mountain Lion Foundation
Apex predators are vital to the health of ecosystems across America. This proposed rule will require the Interior Secretary to ensure that all state wildlife agencies receiving federal Pittman Robertson wildlife restoration funds fully protect these species. - Rick Steiner, Director, Oasis Earth

States have been steadily pushing gray wolves back towards extinction since delisting in 2011," said Brooks Fahy, executive director of Predator Defense. "With this rule we can keep the federal government from helping states kill wolves with funds specifically meant to help wildlife."

"States have consistently demonstrated that they are beholden to a client service model catering to a declining demographic that focuses on consumptive uses over all other values for wildlife. In the face of climate and biodiversity crises, state wildlife policy needs to align with evidence-based conservation goals and broader public values. These excellent amendments to the Pittman-Robertson Act are a momentous step in the right direction." - Michelle L. Lute, PhD, National Carnivore Conservation Manager, Project Coyote

"The work of state public land managers has implications for all wildlife -- including pollinators. This rule will help ensure that funding decisions are based on science and consider direct and indirect consequences for diverse species." - Mary O’Brien, PhD, Executive Director, Project Eleven Hundred

"These regulations will ensure that Vermont's leaders are held accountable for allowing trappers to maim and kill wildlife with weapons that have been banned elsewhere. Protect Our Wildlife urges Secretary Haaland to adopt the proposed rule." - Brenna Galdenzi, President, Protect Our Wildlife

"Predators are integral parts of healthy ecosystems. Nevada and the Eastern Sierra need science-based, participatory wildlife management to maintain predators' essential roles. This rule will help us secure that management." - Brian Beffort, Director, Sierra Club Toiyabe Chapter

"The taxpayer-funded, state-sanctioned slaughter of predators must end. Under this rule, states will have to consider science and the voices of the vast majority of the public who oppose killing wolves – or risk losing their Pittman-Robertson dollars." - Kevin Bixby, Executive Director, Southwest Environmental Center
**Western Watersheds Project, emolvar@westernwatersheds.org**

“The Biodiversity Crisis is one of the main problems facing our planet, and our own species, yet there are state agencies and legislatures that are pursuing anti-wildlife policies that are making it worse,” said Erik Molvar, a wildlife biologist and Executive Director of Western Watersheds Project. “If states are going to participate in wildlife-killing programs or push extinction agendas for species like wolves and prairie dogs that they find economically inconvenient, then they should absolutely be denied federal funding.”

**Western Wildlife Outreach, lynn@westernwildlife.org**

“Western Wildlife Outreach supports the GIC and PEER Petition for Rulemaking. Rulemaking will ensure states receiving Pittman Robertson Wildlife Restoration funds are determined to be eligible through a review of their wildlife management practices and consideration of input from public stakeholders. Responsible state stewardship of wildlife, particularly predators, must be evident.” - Lynn Okita, Board Chair, Western Wildlife Outreach

**Wildearth Guardians, llarris@wildearthguardians.org**

Pittman-Robertson dollars are intended to support wildlife and the ecosystems they call home. The state-led war on carnivores is the antithesis of conservation and should not be fueled by funds earmarked for wildlife preservation. - Lindsay Larris, Wildlife Program Director, WildEarth Guardians

**Wyoming Wildlife Advocates, kristin@wyomingwildlifeadvocates.org**

"Wyoming continues to allow for the killing of nearly half of their wolves each year and only manages for the minimum number of the species, not for healthy or biodiverse ecosystems. For the state to continue to receive federal grants, they need to think more holistically about large carnivore management. One hundred and sixty wolves for 97,000 square miles is not a sustainable population.” - Kristin Combs, Executive Director, Wyoming Wildlife Advocates

**Independent Scientist**

“The global scientific community long ago reached consensus that competing interests hold back the progress of science because special interests pay for research that burnishes their images not for better approximations of reality. To reform the current U.S. system of financing most wildlife research, we should create a firewall between special interests in wildlife, such as the hunting industry, and the funding of wildlife research. That task begins with reform of PR funding mechanisms.” – Prof. Adrian Treves, PhD, Nelson Institute for Environmental Studies, University of Wisconsin – Madison, atreves@wisc.edu